arXiv:1605.02557v1 [physics.gen-ph] 20 Apr 2016

On a possibility of observation of quantum gravitational effect by the cosmic laser ranging

B. F. Kostenko*
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna
141980 Moscow region, Russia
(Dated: May 10, 2016)

Physical interpretation of Lie algebra of de Sitter group is given for Snyder theory of quantum space-time. Feasible means of its experimental validation are pointed out.

PACS numbers:

Up to date physical particles have not been introduced in Snyder theory[1] in explicit form, in spite of many attempts to apply the theory to solution of the divergence problem in QED in the sixties and modern using in Quantum Cosmology[2,3]. Strictly speaking, a generally accepted procedure of transformation of de Sitter group generators into physical operators via multiplication them by dimensional constants ($l_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$ or $\hbar$) is illegal because the generators contains space points in mathematical momentum space, but not momentum coordinates of physical particles. On the other hand, any physical measurement is carried out over physical objects rather than space-time coordinates itself, and discrete properties of space, suggested in Snyder theory, should not be an exception. Thus, symmetry group of a space acquires physical meaning only after physical objects (particles, fields etc.) are introduced. For example, the symmetry of Minkowski space is reflected in symmetry of Lagrangian or Hamiltonian containing coordinates of particles in space. In addition, we should take into account the matter-space coexistence if we want our theory to be in line with General Relativity.

Here we follow an approach devised by E. Wigner in a classical paper[4], where particles were defined as irreducible representations of Minkowski space group, only substituting for place of particles' residence de Sitter energy-momentum space. As is well known, de Sitter group has two Casimir operators[5]

$$I_1 = -\frac{1}{2R^2} J_{ab} J_{ab}, \qquad I_2 = -W_a W_a,$$

where J_{ab} are generators of rotational group in five-dimensional space which cosmological de Sitter space-time is embedded in, R is radius of four-dimensional sphere corresponding to this space-time, and

$$W_a = \frac{1}{8R} \varepsilon_{abcde} J_{bc} J_{de}.$$

In the limit $R \to \infty$, Casimir operators take on the form

$$I_1 \to -P_\lambda P_\lambda = m^2, \qquad I_2 \to m^2 s(s+1).$$

Here m and s are mass and spin describing, according to Wigner, a representation of Poincaré group in Minkowski space-time. Now, replacing space-time by energy-momentum with $R = \hbar/l_P$, we obtain in the limit $R \to \infty$

$$I_1 \to -X_\lambda X_\lambda = \chi^2, \qquad I_2 \to \chi^2 s(s+1),$$

where X_λ describe *coordinates* of particles in Minkowski space.

Thus, representations of de Sitter group in momentum space are characterized not by $X_\lambda X_\lambda$ and s, but I_1 and I_2 and states of particle should be designated as $|I_1 I_2\rangle$. As far as I_1 does not correspond to a certain space-time interval for $R = \hbar/l_P$, it is impossible to attribute to the particle neither a certain distance from the origin of coordinates ($\chi^2 < 0$) nor a certain moment of time ($\chi^2 > 0$) in any reference frame. Furthermore, the very notion of space-time interval allowing to distinguish cases $\chi^2 < 0$ and $\chi^2 > 0$ is absent for $R = \hbar/l_P \neq \infty$. Therefore, a rigorous distinction of rulers and clocks, which is characteristic for special relativity, is lost in this case. Of course, this is an imprint in physical states, $|I_1 I_2\rangle$, of noncommutativity of space and time operators defined by Snyder in empty de Sitter momentum space.

In fact, $|I_1 I_2\rangle$ corresponds only to reference vectors in state space of particles and may be used for construction of more realistic particle states. To define these vectors uniquely, we should choose a complete set of commutating Hermitian operators. Actually, we can add to I_1 and I_2 only one operator of 10 generators of de Sitter group since they are noncommuting. These operators are $\hat{t}$, $\hat{x}_i$, $\hbar\hat{L}_i$, $\hbar\hat{M}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where $\hat{t}$, $\hat{x}_i$ are time and space operators of particle, $\hbar\hat{L}_i$ are components of its angular momentum, and $\hbar\hat{M}_i$ are generators of its boosts. If particle is in a state corresponding to eigenvector of one of these generators, measurements of the others will reveal stochastic results.

To describe such a stochasticity in detail we define the most general expression for density operator,

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{I,I'} \langle I|\hat{\rho}\,|I'\rangle\,|I\rangle\,\langle I'|\,,$$

where I is multiindex, containing numerical values of I_1, I_2 and an additional observable mention above. Now we may define matrix elements of $\hat{\rho}$ on the surface of four-dimensional hyperboloid,

$$\rho(\xi,\xi') = \langle\xi|\,\hat{\rho}\,|\xi'\rangle = \sum_{I,I'} \langle I|\hat{\rho}\,|I'\rangle\,\Psi^*_{I'}(\xi')\Psi_I(\xi),$$

and operator of observable $\hat{A}$,

$$\langle\xi|\,\hat{A}\,|\xi'\rangle = \delta(\xi-\xi')A(\xi).$$

Then for mean value of $\hat{A}$ we obtain

$$\left\langle \hat{A} \right\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}\hat{A}) = \sum_{I,I'} \langle I|\hat{\rho}\,|I'\rangle \int \Psi^*_{I'}(\xi)A(\xi)\Psi_I(\xi)d\xi.$$

According to[6], commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}_i,\hat{x}_j] = i\frac{l_P^2}{\hbar}\hbar\hat{L}_k, \qquad \left[\hat{t},\hat{x}_i\right] = i\frac{l_P^2}{\hbar c}\hbar\hat{M}_i$$

result in inequalities

$$\Delta x_i\Delta x_j \geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{l_P^2}{\hbar}\left|\left\langle\hbar\hat{L}_k\right\rangle\right|, \qquad \Delta t\Delta x_i \geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{l_P^2}{\hbar c}\left|\left\langle\hbar\hat{M}_i\right\rangle\right|$$

in Snyder theory, and just these relations may be checked experimentally.

We suggest that Snyder theory in a very general form described above is applicable to any physical objects including cosmological ones, associating x_i with coordinates of their centers of mass. We may expect that $\Psi_I(\xi)$ and $A(\xi)$ can be found theoretically and that $s = 0$ for $R \to \infty$. Density matrix $\langle I|\,\hat{\rho}\,|I'\rangle$ is in the general case a subject of difficult experimental and theoretical investigations known as quantum state tomography. Nevertheless, for some cosmological objects we can estimate value of $\left|\left\langle\hat{L}_k\right\rangle\right|$ without knowledge of quantum state $\hat{\rho}$. For example, using known inertia moment of Earth, 8.042×10^{37} kg·m^2, and angular velocity of its daily rotation, we obtain $\left|\left\langle\hat{L}_3\right\rangle\right| = 5.85\times10^{33}$ kg m^{2}s^{-1}. Then the uncertainty relation gives Δx_1, $\Delta x_2 \approx 8.5$ cm. Exact value of moment inertia of Sun is not known, and we can estimate its maximum value treating it as a solid ball. Then Δx_1, $\Delta x_2 \leq 1$ km, so that uncertainties of its c.m. position should be ∼100 m in plane transverse to axis of its axial rotation.

Modern cosmic geodesy exploits knowledge about coordinates of Earth c.m. for definition of International Terrestrial Reference Frame[7], in which it plays the role of the coordinates origin (geocenter). The main idea of determination of the origin is very simple: sets of coordinates of a satellite carry the instantaneous information about a geocenter position. In practice, calculations require detailed data on terrestrial gravitational potential, advanced troposphere modeling, corrections for antenna thermal deformations and so on. However, it is evident that uncertainties of Earth coordinates predicted in Snyder theory should be, this way or another, proportional to uncertainties of its satellite coordinates. Modern space geodetic techniques, such as VLBI, SLR, GNSS and DORIS[7], allow to calculate these coordinate with 1 cm precision. However, the calculations are fulfilled on basis of smoothing and data averaging over time and do not contain information about instantaneous satellite coordinate. For example, legacy theory[8] and nowaday practice require for gaining so-called normal points to fulfil averaging several tens results of one-shot satellite laser ranging. Therefore, for verification of the theory discussed here one should make precise measurements of Earth satellite coordinates using one-shot laser ranging from special-purpose satellite (to reduce errors caused by atmosphere effects).

The things stand similarly for the precise spacecraft observations were done till now. Allan variance for Cassini, GALILEO and ULYSSES shows that averaging was made over period $\tau = 1000$ s in this case. Only separate measurements were made for GALILEO for $\tau = 1$ s. However, fluctuations less than 1 km could not be identified even then.

In addition, quantum-gravitational effects, if they exist, can manifest themselves in future cosmic experiments for gravity waves detection. For example, eLISA experiment does not provide fixation of distances between spacecrafts in the constellation, in contrast to DECIGO experiment, and this certainly will give rise to smearing the interference pattern and to impossibility of gravity waves detection.

---

* Electronic address: bkostenko@jinr.ru

[1] H.S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. **71** (1947) 38.

[2] Han-Ying Guo, Chao-Guang Huang, Hong-Tu Wu, Phys. Lett. B **663** (2008) 270.

[3] Han-Ying Guo, Chao-Guang Huang, Yu Tian, Hong-Tu Wu, Zhan Xu, Bin Zhou, Class. Quantum. Grav. **24** (2007) 4009.

[4] E.P. Wigner, Ann. Math. **40** (1939) 149.

[5] F. Gürsey, in "Relativity, Groups and Topology", eds. C. DeWitt, B. DeWitt, New York – London, 1964.

[6] K. Kraus, I. Schröter, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **7** (1973) 431.

[7] Z. Altamimi, X. Collilieux, L. Métivier, J. Geod. 85 (2011) 457.

[8] V.V. Nesterov, Earth Rotation Parameters on Basis of Artificial Satellite Laser Ranging, DPhil, Moscow, 1983.